



Can REDD+ contribute to ecological functions, ecosystem services and livelihoods beyond carbon?

COP24 Side Event
“Implementing REDD-plus with ecological approaches”
13 December 2018

Christopher Martius, Bruno Locatelli, Amy Duchelle, Anne Larson




RESEARCH
PROGRAM ON
Forests, Trees and
Agroforestry



Thinking beyond carbon

Carbon is one of many ecosystem services of forests and trees



Evidence of synergies I

Biodiversity increases biomass but the inverse is not necessarily true



Monospecific fast-growth forest plantations for carbon or bioenergy can have detrimental impacts on water or biodiversity




The US National Science Foundation's Cedar Creek Long-Term Ecological Research (NSF LTER) site in Minnesota. Researchers investigate the long-term biomass responses to increasing or reducing the diversity of plant species. After many years the plants in the most diverse plots of prairie and forest produced the highest amounts of biomass.

<http://www.bitsofscience.org/forest-biodiversity-biomass-5834/>

Locatelli et al. 2015. Tropical reforestation and climate change: beyond carbon. Restoration Ecology 23

Evidence of synergies II: Costa Rica

Conserving carbon is good for other ecosystem services except the provisioning ones (food, wood)



Probability of
being in a
hotspot of...

Multiple objectives may change spatial conservation priorities



→ A policy on carbon would not target the same forests as a policy on carbon and water

REDD+ does not automatically maximize bundled co-benefits for biodiversity and local ecosystem services

	In a hotspot of biodiversity...	In a hotspot of carbon...
Biodiversity	—	39
Carbon	39	—
Water	49	33
Scenic beauty	40	31

More coherent climate policies needed

Non-carbon ecosystem services are important for adaptation: the opportunity of doing adaptation and mitigation together - or the risk of mitigation action that impedes adaptation!



Risks when not doing it:

- Adverse affects:
 - mitigation can increase local vulnerability
 - adaptation can increase global emissions
 - Not being adaptive can jeopardize mitigation!
- Missed opportunities
- Policy incoherence

Locatelli et al 2015 Integrating climate change mitigation and adaptation in agriculture and forestry. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews Climate Change 6

Image source:
<https://cleantechnica.com/files/2017/10/Tom-Toles-thermometer.jpg>


→ Facilitate integrated approaches

- Bring adaptation and mitigation together
 - In international negotiations and agreements
 - ← → in national policies
- landscape management contributes to both
- need to consider multiple scales:
 - At which ecosystem services are delivered
 - At which we must conceive adaptation... (watershed? city? agricultural landscape?)
 - ... and mitigation (forest? globe?)



Understanding climate change policy networks

What actors can play a brokerage role between adaptation and mitigation and between scales?



The challenge of coordination ...when power is at play...



Everyone agrees that coordination is a great thing, so why is it so hard? Because there are so many interests - often conflicting - attached to land and natural resources.



It is important to understand the root causes of coordination failures in REDD+ policy and implementation.



Some can be addressed through improved coordination, but others stem from fundamental differences in goals and interests.



Collaborative, multi-actor processes and forums, with specific attention to local context, can improve the chance of success.



They should address power differences among participants for more equitable outcomes.




Not all solutions can be negotiated, such as when highly unequal power relations combine with entrenched differences of interest.



Other options for improving coordination include regulations, law enforcement and support for collective action by grassroots actors and coalitions for change.

Impact assessment: Review of REDD+ literature


Many case studies; little focus on forest outcomes



Duchelle et al. 2018. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*

Forest conservation impact of policies & programs

small effects so far




Comparing the effects of various intervention types on average annual forest cover change.

Note: Average effects are shown in per cent forest cover increase (dots). Horizontal bars and values in brackets represent standard errors (a measure of the statistical accuracy). Note the different scales for forest cover.

Forest & land use outcomes

The few evaluations of local REDD+ initiatives on forest & land use outcomes show moderately encouraging results



Social & other environmental outcomes



- Well-being effects small, with mixed sign, but more likely to be positive when incentive components included
- Land tenure highlighted as persistent challenge
- Studies on biodiversity and adaptation outcomes scarce

Summary: what should different stakeholders do



Knowledge dimension

Recognize trade-offs
Often, local understanding
is required



Planning dimension

More integrated landscape
approaches and
joint mitigation & adaptation
planning



Policy dimension

Facilitate integrated
approaches
Recognize power plays
and games
True participation in
decision making



All: more, and more rigorous, impact
assessment



Transforming REDD+

Lessons and new directions



Edited by
Arild Angelsen, Christopher Martius, Veronique De Sy,
Amy E Duchelle, Anne M Larson and Pham Thu Thuy

Full PDF version
available
cifor.org/library/7045



cifor.org/gcs
forestsnews.cifor.org



RESEARCH
PROGRAM ON
Forests, Trees and
Agroforestry

The CGIAR Research Program on Forests, Trees and Agroforestry (FTA) is the world's largest research for development program to enhance the role of forests, trees and agroforestry in sustainable development and food security and to address climate change. CIFOR leads FTA in partnership with Bioversity International, CATIE, CIRAD, ICRAF, INBAR and TBI.



With support from the CGIAR Fund Donors:
<http://on.cgiar.org/CGIARFundDonors>